

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                   | FILING DATE       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/520,732  | 12/07/2005        | Yoji Ito             | 030662-116          | 9381             |
| 21839   | 7590 11/14/2006   | •                    | EXAMINER            |                  |
|   | N, INGERSOLL & RC | BRIGGS, NATHANAEL R  |                     |                  |
| POST OFFICE BOX 1404<br>ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404 |                   |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   | ,                 |                      | 2871                |                  |

- DATE MAILED: 11/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ·   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | 10/520,732  | ITO ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Nathanael Briggs  | 2871   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the provision of the period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ja  | nnuary 2005.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-18 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   | -   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  | 5) Notice of Informal P   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2871

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- Group I, claim(s) 1-14, drawn to a liquid crystal display.
- Group II, claim(s) 15-18, drawn to a method and apparatus of testing optical compensatory film.
- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Claims 1-14 recite a liquid crystal display device having an optical compensatory sheet for widening viewing angle; whereas, claims 15-18 recite a method and apparatus for testing optical compensatory films. These inventions are not in a technical relationship involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features and therefore are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Art Unit: 2871

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathanael Briggs whose telephone number is (571) 272-8992. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM (EST) Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,732

Art Unit: 2871

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nathanael Briggs

11/8/2006

A L LLLLT INDREW SCHECHTER PRIMARY EXAMINER